

Missouri SWMD District Annual Report

Member: District D - Region D Recycling & Waste Management District

Year: 2014

Cycle: Fiscal Period: July 1st - June 30th

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ANNUAL REPORT

1 (a). What waste goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the districts take to achieve these goals?

The district goals continue as previous years to provide special waste collections and education to the residents of the district as projects/programs are approved. Those standards include coordinating programs for household hazardous waste reduction, electronics recycling, public education to students and civic organizations and technical assistance as provided by district staff. The District also promotes the DNR Tire Dump Round Up Program. Programs and collection events provided by the District are the only recycling and proper disposal opportunity for the District residents. With the District primarily being rural the collection events often receive household hazardous waste materials and even electronics that have been stored outside in the elements. The materials/items could pose a hazard to the environment and provide an eyesore to the countryside. The District sponsored collections lessen the environment to potential hazard. A two-color brochure in newspaper format was direct mailed to 20,927 households throughout the District. The brochure includes schedule of collection of events, along with recycling opportunities for everyday household recycling (paper, plastics, cardboard, tin, glass, aluminum, styrofoam). These opportunities include drop-off and curbside information and how to prepare and what is not accepted. Also listed on the brochure are ongoing opportunities for electronics (Best Buy, Goodwill, etc) and scrap yards for metal recycling opportunities for appliances. The City of Gower hosted a Tire Dump Round Up on October 12, 2013. During this event 11,760 tires were collected for recycling. Hazardous waste collection was held on April 12, 2014 in the City of Cameron with 180 residents participating in the one day event. 95 of the participants lived within a city, 51 lived rural on less than 20 acres and 34 lived rural on 20 acres or more. Of the 95 living within a city limits 34 were from Cameron. 41% of the materials collected is paint. 13.3 tons was collected for proper disposal, reuse and recycling. 114 participants were first time participants. With 63% of total participation being first time participants shows there is still materials out there with a need to continue assisting the residents with proper handling of household hazardous waste. The District promoted the the National Prescription Take Back Day. The partnership is with DEA (Drug Enforcement Administration) and local law enforcement agencies. Seven local law enforcement agencies participated in the Spring event held on April 26, 2014. Twelve electronic recycling programs were held within the District. The ever changing electronic world has provided a huge need for programs to address the unwanted electronics. The contractor was present at six of the twelve events held on three Saturdays with am and pm collections in different communities. The remaining six were evening collections utilizing the District truck and trailer. These six were held from 3-7 pm during the week. Clinco Industries unloaded and prepared materials for shipping and provided storage and for the electronics collected during the week. The contractor picked-up the materials from Clinco. Vintage Tech Recyclers provided the service. The twelve events collected 44.32 tons. The bid included a rebate for items collected. The event contractor paid the District \$602.77 for the materials collected. Our award winning educator provided programs to 896 individuals through 40 programs. Educator is consistent on ranking top on the evaluation forms. Evaluations are required in order for the educator to be paid. Technical support is provided on a daily bases by the District Coordinator. Technical assistance serves government officials, individuals, schools and businesses with their specific needs.

1 (b). What waste goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals. Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

Goals as listed in the District Plan were adopted as standards for future references. Collections for household hazardous waste, electronics recycling, and 25 education programs have been approved for FY2015. The City of Fillmore will be hosting a DNR sponsored Tire Dump Round Up in 2015. Grant proposals were wrote for District managed collections of household hazardous waste, electronics recycling and education programs. These are competitive grant proposals. Grants were accepted and approved for City of Stewartsville Recycling Center gravel and bins, Clinco Sheltered Industries Forklift tires and Bins and the City of Cameron for bins, bags and containers. No specific grant call is issued. All applications are evaluated using the same criteria.

2 (a). What recycling goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?

| | GOAL: | ACTION: |
|---|--|--|
| 1 | Contractors who provide recycling or reuse verses proper disposal. | Special waste collection events, contractors are requested to have the materials collected be recycled when possible. Only after all recycling and reuse efforts are exhausted, then the collected materials should be properly disposed of. |
| 2 | Promote recycling opportunities | Recycling information was direct mailed to 20,927 households throughout the District. |
| 3 | Styrofoam added | Clinco Sheltered Industries installed a Styrofoam recycling machine, allowing Styrofoam to be collected for recycling. |
| 4 | Andrew County Recycling Center | Purchased additional bins to allow for recyclables to be collected with ease. |

2 (b). What recycling goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals?

Goals are the standards as listed in the District Plan. Electronic recycling being the exception as was not needed when the plan was developed. Continue to work with contractors to recycle or reuse collected materials rather than proper disposal. The District will continue to collect usable latex paint to distribute to the residents. This is a very popular program and residents start calling wanting on the list to get recycled paint. The City of Cameron will be purchasing recycling containers to offer recycling at parks and play areas never offered before. The City of Stewartsville will be purchasing bins for the drop-off center to assure adequate containers are available. Also purchasing gravel to provide an easy access to the drop-off center. The education programs will provide recycling opportunities to its audience during the 25 programs planned.

3 (a). What resource recovery goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve thee goals?

Event recycling containers are used on a regular basis for the collection of plastic and aluminum cans. The collection containers are lightweight and easy to manage. The containers have been used during an annual airshow with over 10,000 in attendance. Local county fairs, festivals and art shows have all used the containers.

3 (b). What resource recovery goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve thee goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

The event recycling containers will be made available again through local news media coverage. Also, letters sent to organizers listing the opportunity details. No specific grants were solicited. All grant proposals are welcome and scored using the same criteria.

4. Summarize the types of project and results during fiscal year (add additional rows if needed.)

| | PROJECT #: * | NAME OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS: | COST OF PROJECT: | NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED: | AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED: |
|----|--------------|--|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | D2014-003 | Andrew County Bins & Tires | 3,470.00 | 203.5000 | 17.05 |
| 2 | D2014-004 | Cameron Recycling Program | 18,575.00 | 290.1800 | 64.01 |
| 3 | D2014-005 | Clinco Styrofoam Densifer and bins | 38,400.00 | 325.8500 | 117.85 |
| 4 | D2014-007 | Electronics Recycling | 4,526.21 | 44.3200 | 102.13 |
| 5 | D2014-008 | HHW & Ag Waste Collection | 24,125.13 | 13.3000 | 1,813.92 |
| 6 | D2014-010 | Union Star - STAR - Students Taking Action in the Region | 900.00 | 2.8300 | 318.02 |
| 7 | D2014-011 | Stewartsville | 4,881.00 | 42.6800 | 114.36 |
| 8 | D2012-009 | Waste Tire Collection | 4,800.00 | 310.0000 | 15.48 |
| 9 | D2013-003 | Cameron Recycling Program | 12,350.00 | 288.4900 | 42.81 |
| 10 | D2012-003 | Clinco Upgrade | 7,992.35 | 1,179.6900 | 6.72 |
| 11 | D2012-006 | HHW Collection | 28,720.12 | 13.9300 | 2,061.75 |
| 12 | D2012-009 | Waste Tires | 2,227.02 | 13.5420 | 9.40 |
| 13 | D2013-002 | Andrew County | 23,360.00 | 176.8700 | 132.07 |
| 14 | D2013-003 | City of Cameron | 12,350.00 | 294.5600 | 41.93 |
| 15 | D2013-004 | Clinco | 7,520.00 | 470.7100 | 15.98 |
| 16 | D2013-005 | Electronic Collection | 18,317.33 | 47.4300 | 386.20 |
| 17 | D2012-09 | Waste Tires | 2,227.03 | 237.0000 | 9.40 |
| 18 | D2012-08 | | 1,990.00 | 26.0300 | 76.45 |

| | PROJECT #: * | NAME OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS: | COST OF PROJECT: | NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED: | AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED: |
|----|--------------|--|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Stewartsville Forklift | | | |
| 19 | D2012-07 | Recycling Taxi | 11,930.00 | 315.2500 | 85.21 |
| 20 | D2012-06 | HHW Collections | 28,720.12 | 13.9300 | 2,061.75 |
| 21 | D2012-05 | Electronic Collections | 15,583.10 | 64.0000 | 243.49 |
| 22 | D2012-03 | Clinco Upgrade | 7,761.00 | 967.8700 | 8.04 |
| 23 | D2012-02 | Andrew County Ctr Upgrade | 1,845.00 | 190.3000 | 9.69 |
| 24 | D2011-08 | Stewartsville Trailer | 2,613.94 | 40.0600 | 65.25 |
| 25 | D2011-07 | Shred Fest | 2,025.00 | 9.0400 | 224.00 |
| 26 | D2011-06 | HHW & Ag Waste Collection | 31,796.03 | 17.2400 | 1,844.32 |
| 27 | D2011-04 | Clinco #2 tipper & conveyor | 12,103.20 | 697.0600 | 19.35 |
| 28 | D2011-03 | Clinco #1 Fans & forks | 6,120.00 | 697.0600 | 8.78 |
| 29 | D2011-02 | Andrew County Trailer | 3,262.00 | 146.4500 | 22.27 |
| 30 | D2010-08 | Cameron Recycling Education | 1,500.00 | 235.7400 | 6.36 |
| 31 | D2010-07 | District Wide Collections | 60,292.63 | 25.0900 | 2,403.05 |
| 32 | D2010-06 | Clinton County Trailer | 4,500.00 | 22.6100 | 199.03 |
| 33 | D2010+05 | Plattsburg Recycling Trailer | 3,500.00 | 105.5100 | 33.17 |
| 34 | D2010-04 | Clinco Upgrade | 10,000.00 | 393.4300 | 25.42 |
| 35 | D2010-03 | Andrew County Trailer | 2,000.00 | 146.9300 | 13.43 |
| 36 | D2010-02 | Stewartsville Recycling Center | 3,500.00 | 18.8900 | 185.28 |

| | PROJECT #: * | NAME OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS: | COST OF PROJECT: | NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED: | AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED: |
|----|--------------|--|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 37 | D2009-04 | Savannah Recycling Truck | 8,500.00 | 0.0000 | |
| 38 | D2009-03 | Andrew County Concrete | 2,811.60 | 146.5900 | 19.18 |
| 39 | D2009-02 | Clinco Gaylords & Forks | 7,586.78 | 478.8200 | 15.85 |
| 40 | D2009-01 | Cameron Recycling Trailer | 15,000.00 | 297.1900 | 50.47 |

5. Summarize projects not resulting in Tonnage Diversion

| | PROJECTS NOT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS: | COST OF PROJECT: | MEASURABLE OUTCOMES ACHIEVED FOR THESE PROJECTS: |
|---|---|------------------|---|
| 1 | D2014-001 | 33,237.45 | Technical assistance provided as needed. |
| 2 | D2014-002 | 32,071.25 | Technical assistance to conduct special waste collections and education programs. |
| 3 | D2014-006 | 6,275.00 | 896 individuals received recycling information through education presentations. These include school programs, civic organizations and youth organizations. |
| 4 | D2014-009 | 10,547.00 | Report with all feasible probables of a permanent hhw facility. |

6. Identify separate statistics for items banned from landfills:

| | LIST PROJECTS RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS: | LIST COST OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION: | NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED FROM PROJECT: | AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED: | MEASURABLE OUTCOMES ACHIEVED FOR THESE PROJECTS: |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| 1 | D2014-008 | 20,093.90 | 13.3000 | 1,510.82 | Listed as cost is the contractor fees. |
| 2 | D2014-007 | | 44.3200 | | Contractor paid us \$602.77. No recycling fees. |

7. Identify separate statistics for items NOT banned from landfills:

| | LIST PROJECTS RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS: | LIST COST OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION: | NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED FROM PROJECT: | AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED: |
|---|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | DEA National Drug Take Back Day | | 0.7600 | |

8. Describe your district's grant proposal evaluation process.

Each grant application is evaluated using the same criteria (see evaluation criteria attached). A committee comprised of 4 board members evaluate the applications. The committee reports the grants recommended for funding to the full council for approval.

1. Board and Council Members

Name:

Drew Bontrager

Check:

☒ Board ☒ Council

Representative of:

☐ County ☐ Public ☒ City ☐ Other

Official Title:

☐ Officer ☒ Chair ☐ Vice-Chair ☐ Secretary ☐ Treasurer ☐ Other

Address:

205 N Main

City:

Cameron

State:

MO

Zip Code:

64429

Phone number with area code:

816-632-2177

Fax:

816-632-1067

Email:

publicworks@cameronmo.com

2. Board and Council Members

Name:

Ron Hook

Check:

☒ Board ☒ Council

Representative of:

☒ County ☐ Public ☐ City ☐ Other

Official Title:

☐ Officer ☐ Chair ☒ Vice-Chair ☐ Secretary ☐ Treasurer ☐ Other

Address:

411 Jules - Room 122

City:

St Joseph

State:

MO

Zip Code:

64501

Phone number with area code:

816-271-1503

Fax:

816-271-1569

Email:

rhook@buchanan.co.mo.us

3. Board and Council Members

Name:

Larry King

Check:

☒ Board ☒ Council

Representative of:

☒ County ☐ Public ☐ City ☐ Other

Official Title:

☐ Officer ☐ Chair ☐ Vice-Chair ☐ Secretary ☒ Treasurer ☐ Other

Address:

207 N Main - Room 103

City:

Plattsburg

State:

MO

Zip Code:

64477

Phone number with area code:

816-539-2536

Fax:

816-539-3072

Email:

homefrontlazyboy@yahoo.com

4. Board and Council Members**Name:**

Larry Atkins

Check:

☒ Board ☒ Council

Representative of:

☒ County ☐ Public ☐ City ☐ Other

Official Title:

☐ Officer ☐ Chair ☐ Vice-Chair ☐ Secretary ☐ Treasurer ☒ Other

PLEASE DESCRIBE "OTHER"

Andrew County represen

Address:

P O Box 206

City:

Savannah

State:

MO

Zip Code:

64485

Phone number with area code:

816-324-5716

Fax:

816-324-6154

Email:

clerk@andrewcounty.org

5. Board and Council Members

Name:

Dan Hausman

Check:

☒ Board ☒ Council

Representative of:

☒ County ☐ Public ☐ City ☐ Other

Official Title:

☐ Officer ☐ Chair ☐ Vice-Chair ☐ Secretary ☐ Treasurer ☒ Other

PLEASE DESCRIBE "OTHER"

Buchanan County repres

Address:

411 Jules - Room 122

City:

St Joseph

State:

MO

Zip Code:

64501

Phone number with area code:

816-271-1503

Fax:

816-271-1569

Email:

gbroadus@co.buchanan.mo.us

6. Board and Council Members

Name:

Wade Wilken Jr.

Check:

☒ Board☒ Council

Representative of:

☒ County☐ Public☐ City☐ Other

Official Title:

☐ Officer☐ Chair☐ Vice-Chair☐ Secretary☐ Treasurer☒ Other

PLEASE DESCRIBE "OTHER"

Clinton County represent

Address:

207 N Main - Room 103

City:

Plattsburg

State:

MO

Zip Code:

64477

Phone number with area code:

816-539-2536

Fax:

816-539-3072

Email:

cccomm@centurytel.net

7. Board and Council Members

Name:

Gaylon Whitmer

Check:

☒ Board☒ Council

Representative of:

☐ County☐ Public☒ City☐ Other

Official Title:

☐ Officer ☐ Chair ☐ Vice-Chair ☐ Secretary ☐ Treasurer ☒ Other

PLEASE DESCRIBE "OTHER"

DeKalb County Represer

Address:

709 W 6th St

City:

Stewartsville

State:

MO

Zip Code:

64490

Phone number with area code:

816-669-3278

Fax:

816-669-3646

Email:

gaylon42@yahoo.com

8. Board and Council Members**Name:**

Greg Wall

Check:

☐ Board ☒ Council

Representative of:

☒ County ☐ Public ☐ City ☐ Other

Official Title:

☐ Officer ☐ Chair ☐ Vice-Chair ☐ Secretary ☐ Treasurer ☒ Other

PLEASE DESCRIBE "OTHER"

Andrew County represen

Address:

P O Box 206

City:

Savannah

State:

MO

Zip Code:

64485

Phone number with area code:

816-324-5716

Fax:

816-324-6154

Email:

clerk@andrewcounty.org

9. Board and Council Members**Name:**

Harold Allison

Check:☐ Board ☒ Council**Representative of:**☒ County ☐ Public ☐ City ☐ Other**Official Title:**☐ Officer ☐ Chair ☐ Vice-Chair ☐ Secretary ☐ Treasurer ☒ Other

PLEASE DESCRIBE "OTHER"

DeKalb County represent

Address:

P O Box 248

City:

Maysville

State:

MO

Zip Code:

64469

Phone number with area code:

816-449-5402

Fax:

816-449-2440

Email:

harold@haroldallison.com

10. Board and Council Members

Name:

Joe Kagay

Check:

☐ Board ☒ Council

Representative of:

☒ County ☐ Public ☐ City ☐ Other

Official Title:

☐ Officer ☐ Chair ☐ Vice-Chair ☐ Secretary ☐ Treasurer ☒ Other

PLEASE DESCRIBE "OTHER"

DeKalb County Represer

Address:

P O Box 248

City:

Maysville

State:

MO

Zip Code:

64469

Phone number with area code:

816-449-5402

Fax:

816-449-2440

Email:

jkagay@gmail.com

11. Board and Council Members

Name:

Mary Lou Holley

Check:

☐ Board ☒ Council

Representative of:

☐ County ☐ Public ☒ City ☐ Other

Official Title:

☐ Officer ☐ Chair ☐ Vice-Chair ☐ Secretary ☐ Treasurer ☒ Other

PLEASE DESCRIBE "OTHER"

City of Maysville represent

Address:

505 E Sheridan

City:

Maysville

State:

MO

Zip Code:

64469

Phone number with area code:

816-449-2185

Fax:

816-449-5755

Email:

marlu4@yahoo.com

12. Board and Council Members

Name:

Carroll Fisher

Check:

☐ Board ☒ Council

Representative of:

☐ County ☐ Public ☒ City ☐ Other

Official Title:

☐ Officer ☐ Chair ☐ Vice-Chair ☐ Secretary ☐ Treasurer ☒ Other

PLEASE DESCRIBE "OTHER"

City of Gower representa

Address:

P O Box 408

City:

Gower

State:

MO

Zip Code:

64454

Phone number with area code:

816-424-6617

Fax:

816-424-3877

Email:

Gower001@centurytel.net

13. Board and Council Members

Name:

Jim Andrews

Check:

☐ Board ☒ Council

Representative of:

☐ County ☐ Public ☒ City ☐ Other

Official Title:

☐ Officer ☐ Chair ☐ Vice-Chair ☐ Secretary ☐ Treasurer ☒ Other

PLEASE DESCRIBE "OTHER"

City of Lathrop represent

Address:

P O Box 623

City:

Lathrop

State:

MO

Zip Code:

64465

Phone number with area code:

816-740-4251

Fax:

816-740-4318

Email:

jla@grm.net

14. Board and Council Members

Name:

Paul Read

Check:

☐ Board ☒ Council

Representative of:

☐ County ☐ Public ☒ City ☐ Other

Official Title:

☐ Officer ☐ Chair ☐ Vice-Chair ☐ Secretary ☐ Treasurer ☒ Other

PLEASE DESCRIBE "OTHER"

City of Plattsburg represe

Address:

114 Maple

City:

Plattsburg

State:

MO

Zip Code:

64477

Phone number with area code:

816-539-2148

Fax:

816-930-3260

Email:

mickeyp@ccp.com

15. Board and Council Members

Name:

Don Dillman

Check:

☐ Board ☒ Council

Representative of:

☐ County ☐ Public ☒ City ☐ Other

Official Title:

☐ Officer ☐ Chair ☐ Vice-Chair ☐ Secretary ☐ Treasurer ☒ Other

PLEASE DESCRIBE "OTHER"

City of Savannah represe

Address:

402 Court

City:

Savannah

State:

MO

Zip Code:

64485

Phone number with area code:

816-324-3315

Fax:

816-324-5997

Email:

dondill@magiccablepc.com

16. Board and Council Members**Name:**

Julia Elder

Check:

☐ Board ☒ Council

Representative of:

☐ County ☐ Public ☒ City ☐ Other

Official Title:

☐ Officer ☐ Chair ☐ Vice-Chair ☐ Secretary ☐ Treasurer ☒ Other

PLEASE DESCRIBE "OTHER"

Country Club Village Rep

Address:

6601 N Belt Hwy

City:

St Joseph

State:

MO

Zip Code:

64506

Phone number with area code:

816-232-4621

Fax:

816-901-9593

Email:

villageclerk@villageofcountryclubmo.org

17. Board and Council Members**Name:**

Ronnie Jack

Check:

☐ Board ☒ Council

Representative of:

☐ County ☐ Public ☒ City ☐ Other

Official Title:

☐ Officer ☐ Chair ☐ Vice-Chair ☐ Secretary ☐ Treasurer ☒ Other

PLEASE DESCRIBE "OTHER"

City of Cameron represen

Address:

205 N Main

City:

Cameron

State:

MO

Zip Code:

64429

Phone number with area code:

816-632-2177

Fax:

816-632-1067

Email:

3jacks@mcshi.com

Upload File:

Evaluation Criteria 2014.doc *Maximum of 5 mb file size*

Response created on: Oct 8, 2014 at 01:08 PM CDT by regiond@stjoewireless.com

Response last updated on: Jan 23, 2015 at 01:40 PM CST by regiond@stjoewireless.com

Region D Recycling & Waste Management District
District Grant Evaluation Review Form 2014

Project Title: _____

Applicant Name: _____

Applicant Address: _____ State: _____ Zip code _____

Amount Requested: _____ Total Project Cost: _____

Project Category (Circle One) Waste Reduction Recycling Composting
Market Development Education

1. Conforms with State Resource Recovery Priorities: priority is granted to projects which work towards waste reduction and implementing Missouri's Policy on Resource Recovery (enclosed).

10 points - The project is for waste reduction or reuse.

5 points - The project is for collection / processing, market development or composting.

2 points - The project is for energy recovery.

____ Points

2. Conforms to Targeted Materials as approved by the District Board.

10 points - The project reduces or recycles a targeted material in list A.

5 points - The project reduces or recycles a targeted material in list B.

3 points - The project reduces or recycles a material not targeted.

0 points - The project does not involve any specific material.

____ Points

3. Economic Development:

25 points – Project employs an employee with a minimum commitment to continue the project for two years beyond the grant funding.

10 points – Project employs an employee with a one-year commitment to continue the project beyond the grant fund.

0 points – No commitment to continue the project beyond the grant funding.

____ Points

4. Local private or public competition for similar service: project tasks or equipment purchases in direct competition with existing business.

10 points – Proposal does not have direct competition with any District business.

5 points – Proposal is in minimal competition with a District business.

0 points – Proposal is in direct competition with a District business.

____ Points

____ Total Points – Page 1 55 Points Possible

5. Degree of waste reduction or recycling or results in an environmental benefit:

criterion evaluates reduction or recycling or environmental benefit impact for short or long term.

10 points – Proposal results in the reduction or recycling of more than one waste stream component .

5 points – Proposal results in the reduction or recycling of a single waste stream component

____Points

6. Cooperative Efforts: works cooperatively with local governments in the District as documented by letters, ordinance or resolution from the local governing body in which the project is located.

10 points – Documentation of support and approval of the local governing body.

0 points – No documentation of support from local governing body.

____Points

7. Compliance with Federal, State and Local Requirements: Not all projects will need federal, state and local permits, approval, licenses and waivers. However, a discussion of why permits are needed must be include to receive full points for this criterion. If federal, state and local permits, approvals, license and waivers are necessary, a discussion of how this will be accomplished or copies of applications or actual permit documents should be included in the application.

10 points – Proposal demonstrates that all federal, state and local permits, approvals, licenses or waivers necessary to implement the project have been applied for (copies of applications attached) and/or demonstrates that permits are not needed.

5 points – Proposal indicates awareness of necessary permits but applications have not been submitted.

0 points – Applicant submitted no evidence of obtaining needed permits and no documentation that permits are not needed.

____Points

8. Compliance with Local Zoning Laws: A discussion of compliance with local zoning laws.

10 points – Proposal demonstrates that project is in compliance with local zoning laws. Provides documentation to compliance.

5 points – Proposal indicates awareness of local zoning laws, with no documentation.

0 points - Applicant submitted no evidence of local zoning laws compliance.

____Points

9. Transferability of Results: criterion will determine whether the project has set forth in the application, if proven successful, lends itself to being easily duplicated by others.

5 points – Information from this project will be actively disseminated to others through a plan.

3 points – Information from this project demonstrates the possibility of transferring project results to others.

0 points – Proposal does not demonstrate transferability.

____Points

10. Need for the information: criterion will be evaluated by the evidence documenting the need for the proposed project.

- 10 points – Proposal provides documentation for need for proposed project
- 5 points – Proposal reports need for proposed project with no documentation
- 0 points – Proposal does not demonstrate need.

_____ **Points**

11. Technical Capability of Applicant: the ability of the applicant to implement and operate the project based on previous work experience and demonstrated expertise in the field.

Resumes from those individuals with operational responsibilities for the project.

- 7 points – Extensive experience (5 years or more)
- 5 points – Limited experience
- 0 points – No experiences

_____ **Points**

12. Managerial Experience of Applicant: resumes of project manager

- 7 points – Extensive experience (5 years or more)
- 5 points – Limited experiences
- 0 points – No experience

_____ **Points**

13. Project Implementation: feasibility of completing the project in realistic time frame.

10 points – Project likely to be completed in a timely manner based on the time line and other data.

5 points - Implementing project in a timely manner is a concern.

0 points - Project is not likely to be implemented in a timely manner.

_____ **Points**

14. Technical Feasibility: Is the technology or data available to implement this project?

10 points – Project will provide new and useful technology for waste reduction or resource recovery efforts

5 points – Project may provide new and useful technology for waste reduction or resource recovery efforts

0 points - Project will provide relatively little new or useful technology for waste reduction or resource

_____ **Points**

15. Availability of Feedstock: measure the strength of commitment of feedstock materials needed to complete the project as documented by letters of commitment, contracts or other verifiable documentation.

5 points – Proposal identifies a sufficient supply of feedstock within the District or that recovered materials are not needed.

3 points – Proposal identifies a sufficient supply of feedstock outside the District

0 points – Adequate supply of feedstock is questionable.

_____ **Points**

16. Committed Financing: strength of commitments for financial resources as indicated by letter, contract or other verifiable documents.

10 points – All financing for the project is committed and documented.

3 points - Sufficient financing is likely, but not yet committed

0 points - Proposed financing is questionable.

_____ **Points**

17. Type of Contribution: Cash Match

20 points –above 25% cash match

10 points – up to 25% cash match

____ **Points**

18. Marketing Strategy: A marketing strategy defines how materials collected or manufactured will be distributed from the collection point or producer to the consumer or end-market. A marketing strategy should include information on how materials are to be sold, advertised, packaged and distributed.

20 points – The project has a strong marketing strategy, utilizing Clinco Sheltered Industries.

3 points – The project has an acceptable marketing strategy, utilizing other resource than Clinco.

0 point - The marketing strategy for the project is questionable.

____ **Points**

19. Quality of Budget: Budget must delineate percentage of requested funds and match. Budget must provide itemized expenses in the form of budget notes. Expenses over \$2,999.99 require documentation

15 points – Budget is complete

5 points – Expenses are not itemized and budget note for expenses over \$2,999.99 not included.

0 points – Requested funds not directly related to scope of work and will they be spent most efficiently?

____ **Points**

20. Financial Ratios: Selected values on entity's financial statement. Required for requests of \$50,000 or more.

10 points – Financial statements or credit histories are included

0 points - No financial statement or credit history included

____ **Points**

21. Completeness of Application: pre-application checklist, application form, budget form, executive summary, bid record/procurement form and required attachments are:

25 points – Complete with no additional data required to complete review of application

5 points - Substantially complete but additional data is required to complete review.

0 points - Not complete or insufficient data for consideration

____ **Points**

22. Project Site Identification: where project will be located as documented by letters, lease or other verifiable documentation.

10 points – Location within the District

5 points - Location within adjoining District in a cooperative effort

0 points - Location not identified

____ **Points**

____ **Total Points – Page 4**

100 Points Possible

23. Past Performance Rating:

- 0 points - Applicant has demonstrated satisfactory performance in the administration of previous grants.
- 25 points – Applicant has demonstrated less than satisfactory performance in the administration of previous grants.
- 50 points – Applicant has failed to meet the minimum performance requirements of a previous project funded by the District, or MDNR due to non-criminal mismanagement.
- 125 points – Applicant has been convicted of defrauding the District or MDNR, or has failed to honor a previous contractual agreement with the District or MDNR.

_____ **Total Points – Page 5 -0 Points Possible**

_____ **Total Points – Page 1 55 Points Possible**

_____ **Total Points – Page 2 45 Points Possible**

_____ **Total Points – Page 3 59 Points Possible**

_____ **Total Points – Page 4 100 Points Possible**

_____ **Total Points – Page 5 0 Points Possible**

_____ **Total Points 259 Points Possible**

Applications must score 125 to be eligible for funding.

Signature of Reviewer

Date

